John D. Morris (6943) Lauren DeVoe (15122) 7070 South Union Park Center, Suite 220 Midvale, Utah 84047

Tel: (801) 790-9000 Fax: (801) 208-8209

Email: john@morrissperry.com

<u>lauren@morrissperry.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

KAYLEE CONLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RU CLIFF, LLC, a limited liability company; RIZE HOMESOURCE, LLC, a limited liability company; JON NEVIASER, an individual; LAW OFFICES OF KIRK A. CULLIMORE, L.L.C., a limited liability company; KIRK A. CULLIMORE, an individual,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF LAUREN DEVOE IN SUPPORT OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO QUASH DEFENDANTS' SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01213-BCW

Judge Tena Campbell

- I, Lauren DeVoe, state as follows:
- 1. I am over the age of 18, and the following statements are based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am an attorney at the law firm of Morris Sperry, which represents plaintiff Kaylee Conlin in the above-captioned matter.
  - 3. I am familiar with this matter, communications between counsel related to this

matter, and the pleadings and other papers filed in this matter.

4. On June 7, 2018, I received a Notice of Intent to Obtain Protected Health
Information by Issue of Subpoena Duces Tecum via email from counsel for the Rize Defendants,
a true and correct copy of which is attached to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Defendants'

Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects (the "Motion to Quash") as Exhibit 2.

5. In response, I emailed counsel for the Rize Defendants on June 11, 2018, and requested that they seek a protective order for Plaintiff's protected health information, both for the purpose of protecting Plaintiff's privacy and to assist compliance with the subpoena duces tecum. A true and correct copy of my June 11, 2018 email is attached to Plaintiff's Motion to Ouash as Exhibit 3.

6. On June 12, 2018, counsel for the Rize Defendants responded by email, a true and correct copy of which is attached to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Exhibit 4, and stated that they did not think they should seek a protective order.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018.

Lauren DeVoe

MICHAEL P. PETROGEORGE, USB 8870 MATTHEW D. COOK, USB 10751 LAURA M. BIBER, 16401 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Telephone: (801) 532-1234 Facsimile: (801) 536-6111 Email: ecf@parsonsbehle.com

MPetrogeorge@parsonsbehle.com

MCook@parsonsbehle.com LBiber@parsonsbehle.com

Attorneys for Defendants RU Cliff, LLC, Rize Homesource, LLC, and Jon Neviaser

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

KAYLEE CONLIN, an individual

Plaintiff,

VS.

RU CLIFF, LLC, a limited liability company, RIZE HOMESOURCE, LLC, a limited liability company, JON NEVIASER, an individual, LAW OFFICE OF KIRK A. CULLIMORE, LLC, a limited liability company, KIRK A. CULLIMORE, an individual,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO OBTAIN PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION BY ISSUE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

Case No. 2:17-cy-01213

Judge Tena Campbell

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 45(a)(1)(D) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants RU Cliff, LLC, Rize Homesource, LLC, and Jon Neviaser intend to request the production of records which may include Protected Health Information (PHI)

including, without limitation, a complete copy of any and all records relating to the diagnosis and treatment of Plaintiff Kaylee Conlin, by issue of subpoena duces tecum to the following:

Tyler Shawn Carroll, MD Intermountain Healthcare Highland Clinic 10968 North Alpine Highway Highland, Utah 84003 (801) 763-2900

A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The requested records are to be delivered to the offices of PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER, 201 S. Main Street, Ste. 1800, Salt Lake City, UT 84111 by June 28, 2018 at 5:00pm.

DATED this 7th day of June 2018.

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMTER

/s/Laura Biber

Michael P. Petrogeorge Matthew D. Cook Laura M. Biber Attorneys For Defendants Ru Cliff, LLC, Rize Homesource, LLC, and Jon Neviaser

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of June 2018, I served a true and correct copy of the

#### foregoing NOTICE OF INTENT TO OBTAIN PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION

#### BY ISSUE OF SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM via email to the following:

John Morris Lauren DeVoe MORRIS SPERRY 7070 South Union Park Center, Suite 220 Midvale, Utah 84047 Email: John@morrissperry.com Lauren@morrissperry.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Derek Barclay Law Offices of Kirk A. Cullimore, LLC 12339 S 800 E, Suite 100 Draper, Utah 84020 Email: derek@cullimore.net

Attorneys for Defendants Law Office of Kirk A. Cullimore LLC and Kirk A. Cullimore

/s/Laura Biber	

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Utah

	Kaylee Conlin	)			
	Plaintiff	)		2:17-07-01213	
	v. RU CLIFF, LLC, et. al.	) Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01213			
	Defendant	)			
	SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMIOR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF				3
To:	Tyler Shawn Carroll, M.D., c/o Int 10968 North Alpine Hig			•	
	(Name of person to w	hom this	subpoena is directed	t)	
material:	ts, electronically stored information, or objects, and All records related to Kaylee Conlin (DOB 01/31/19 relating to the diagnosis and treatement of Ms. Cor	989), sp	mit inspection, c ecifically includir	opying, testing, or ng but not limited to	sampling of the o, all records
	aura Biber, Parsons Behle & Latimer, 201 S. Main uite 1800, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	St.	Date and Time:	06/28/2018 5:00 pr	m
other pro	perty possessed or controlled by you at the time, datect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the	ate, and he prop	location set fort	h below, so that the	e requesting party
Rule 45(0	The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are a li), relating to your protection as a person subject to o this subpoena and the potential consequences of	a subp	oena; and Rule 4		
Date:					
	CLERK OF COURT		OR		
			OR	/s/Laura Bi	ber
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cle	erk		Attorney's sign	ature
The name	e, address, e-mail address, and telephone number o	f the att	orney representi	ng (name of party)	RU Cliff LLC,
	esource LLC, and Jon Neviaser			es or requests this	subpoena, are:
Laura Rit	per Parsons Behle & Latimer, 201 S. Main St. Suit	e 1800	Salt Lake City, U	JT 84111	

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01213

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	poena for (name of individual and title, if a .		
☐ I served the sul	ppoena by delivering a copy to the na	med person as follows:	
		on (date)	or
	ubpoena unexecuted because:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that this information	is true.	
<b>:</b> :		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

#### (c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
  - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

#### (2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
  - (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

#### (d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

#### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

#### (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
  - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

#### (e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

#### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

#### (g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

From: Lauren DeVoe

To: "Laura M. Biber"; John Morris

 Cc:
 Matthew D. Cook; Michael P. Petrogeorge; derek@cullimore.net

 Subject:
 RE: Conlin v. RU Cliff et al. Discovery Requests and Notice of Intent

**Date:** Monday, June 11, 2018 7:09:00 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u> <u>image002.png</u>

Hi Laura,

We would like to ask that Parsons seek a protective order to protect Ms. Conlin's protected health information pursuant to HIPAA and implementing regulations. We believe that a HIPAA-compliant protective order will assist both sides—Defendants in obtaining compliance with the subpoena and Plaintiff in maintaining the privacy of her medical information.

I look forward to your response.

Thank you,

Lauren DeVoe

### MORRISPERRY

7070 South Union Park Center, Suite 220 | Midvale, Utah 84047 | Tel: 801-790-9000

1776 Park Avenue, Suite 209 | Park City, Utah 84060 | Tel: 435-575-9000

Fax: 801-208-8209 | Email: <u>Lauren@morrissperry.com</u> | Website: <u>www.morrissperry.com</u>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or otherwise protected from disclosure to anyone other than its intended recipient(s). Any dissemination or use of this electronic mail or its contents by persons other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail so that we may correct our internal records. Please then delete the original message. Thank you.

**From:** Laura M. Biber [mailto:LBiber@parsonsbehle.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:20 PM

**To:** Lauren DeVoe <Lauren@morrissperry.com>; John Morris <john@morrissperry.com>

Cc: Matthew D. Cook < MCook@parsonsbehle.com>; Michael P. Petrogeorge

<MPetrogeorge@parsonsbehle.com>; derek@cullimore.net

Subject: Conlin v. RU Cliff et al. Discovery Requests and Notice of Intent

All,

Please see attached Interrogatories and Requests for Production for Defendants RU Cliff LLC, Rize Homesource LLC, and Jon Neviaser.

Additionally, please see attached Notice of Intent to Obtain Protected Health Information by Issue of Subpoena Duces Tecum.

Thanks, Laura

Laura M. Biber • Attorney Parsons Behle & Latimer



A Professional Law Corporation 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Main 801.532.1234 • Direct 801.536.6906 • Fax 801.536.6111

parsonsbehle.com • LBiber@parsonsbehle.com • vCard

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic mail message and any attachment(s) are confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The message is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you may not use, distribute, or copy this communication. If you have received the message in error, please immediately notify us by reply electronic mail or by telephone at 801.532.1234, and delete this original message.

From: <u>Laura M. Biber</u>

To: <u>Lauren DeVoe</u>; <u>John Morris</u>

 Cc:
 Matthew D. Cook; Michael P. Petrogeorge; derek@cullimore.net

 Subject:
 Re: Conlin v. RU Cliff et al. Discovery Requests and Notice of Intent

**Date:** Tuesday, June 12, 2018 6:10:31 AM

Attachments: image001.png image002.png

emailsignaturelogonotext c4fe8a58-60ec-4e55-8f78-be04efb3833d.png

#### Lauren.

We would be happy to review and consider a proposed stipulated protective order and we understand why your client would want such an order. However, we don't think that we should be seeking a protective order on behalf of your client, but we will promptly review any proposed stipulated order you would draft.

Thanks, Laura

From: Lauren DeVoe < Lauren@morrissperry.com >

Sent: Monday, June 11, 2018 7:09:33 PM

To: Laura M. Biber; John Morris

Cc: Matthew D. Cook; Michael P. Petrogeorge; derek@cullimore.net

Subject: RE: Conlin v. RU Cliff et al. Discovery Requests and Notice of Intent

Hi Laura,

We would like to ask that Parsons seek a protective order to protect Ms. Conlin's protected health information pursuant to HIPAA and implementing regulations. We believe that a HIPAA-compliant protective order will assist both sides—Defendants in obtaining compliance with the subpoena and Plaintiff in maintaining the privacy of her medical information.

I look forward to your response.

Thank you,

Lauren DeVoe

### MORRISPERRY

7070 South Union Park Center, Suite 220 | Midvale, Utah 84047 | Tel: 801-790-9000

1776 Park Avenue, Suite 209 | Park City, Utah 84060 | Tel: 435-575-9000

Fax: 801-208-8209 | Email: Lauren@morrissperry.com | Website: www.morrissperry.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or otherwise protected from disclosure to anyone other than its intended recipient(s). Any dissemination or use of this electronic mail or its contents by persons other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail so that we may correct our internal records. Please then delete the original message. Thank you.

**From:** Laura M. Biber [mailto:LBiber@parsonsbehle.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:20 PM

To: Lauren DeVoe <Lauren@morrissperry.com>; John Morris <john@morrissperry.com>

**Cc:** Matthew D. Cook < MCook@parsonsbehle.com>; Michael P. Petrogeorge

<MPetrogeorge@parsonsbehle.com>; derek@cullimore.net

Subject: Conlin v. RU Cliff et al. Discovery Requests and Notice of Intent

All,

Please see attached Interrogatories and Requests for Production for Defendants RU Cliff LLC, Rize Homesource LLC, and Jon Neviaser.

Additionally, please see attached Notice of Intent to Obtain Protected Health Information by Issue of Subpoena Duces Tecum.

Thanks, Laura



Law Corporation

Parsons Behle & Latimer 201 South Main Street, Sui

Laura M. Biber • Attorney

201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Main 801.532.1234 • Direct 801.536.6906 • Fax 801.536.6111

parsonsbehle.com • LBiber@parsonsbehle.com • vCard

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic mail message and any attachment(s) are confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The message is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you may not use, distribute, or copy this communication. If you have received the message in error, please immediately notify us by reply electronic mail or by telephone at 801.532.1234, and delete this original message.

John D. Morris (U.S.B. 6943) Lauren DeVoe (U.S.B. 15122) 7070 South Union Park Center, Suite 220 Midvale, Utah 84047

Tel: (801) 790-9000 Fax: (801) 208-8209

Email: John@morrissperry.com Lauren@morrissperry.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kaylee Conlin

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

KAYLEE CONLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RU CLIFF, LLC, a limited liability company; RIZE HOMESOURCE, LLC, a limited liability company; JON NEVIASER, an individual; LAW OFFICE OF KIRK A. CULLIMORE, L.L.C., a limited liability company; KIRK A. CULLIMORE, an individual,

Defendants.

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO QUASH DEFENDANTS' SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01213

Judge Tena Campbell

On June 15, 2018, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Defendants' Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects (the "Motion to Quash").

Having considered the Motion to Quash and any response, and for good cause appearing, the Court GRANTS the Motion and ORDERS as follows:

1. The Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects issued by counsel

Carroll, MD i	s quashed; and			
2.	Defendants must seek either a stipulated or Court-ordered qualified protective			
order pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.512 before issuing a subpoena seeking the production of				
Plaintiff's protected health information.				
Signed this	day of, 2018.  BY THE COURT			

Tena Campbell United States District Judge

for Defendants RU Cliff, LLC, Rize Homesource, LLC, and Jon Neviaser to Tyler Shawn